Commercial

Scott. 11—97—40,000—25-10701.

Development (1947) Department.

~~SERIES.~~

~~G.O. No.~~

Lodged No

359168 BL/49

Dated 5—4—1948.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Dev. Department

Legislative Assembly Question - Recruitment of candidates by the M.P.S.C.

From the Public (Ser) Dept U.O. Note No. 1220/49-1 dated 1st April 1949

Please see the Pub(Ser) Dept U.O. Note at p. 1 c.f and the Assembly question extracted at p.2 c.f.

2. With a view to enable War Service candidates to obtain employment in cooperative institutions, the Govt sanctioned schemes for training in Cooperation of about 700 serving soliders and ex-servicemen in the Coop Institutions in the Province. The ex-sergicemen who had undergone the abovementioned training applied to the M.P.S.C for selection for appointment as Junior Inspectors in the vacancies reserved for ex-servicemen Some of them who were inerviewed by the Commission but were not selected by it, represented to the Govt that they should have been selected instead of the ex-service men who had not undergone the training in cooperation. The R.C.S who was consulted in the matter stated that probably the Commission could not recruit them because there were 674 qualified ex-servicemen against 250 posts reserved for them. The Registrar stated that most of the ex-servicemen who were trained in cooperation were only S.S.L.C holders. In order to enable them to compete along with the civilians the submitted proposals for lowering the educational qualification in the case of these ex-servicemen to S.S.L.C instead of a degree of a University. The proposal was examined and as a large number of qualified candidates were available for selection for appointment as Junior Inspectors the Registrar's proposal was negatived.

3. Every year, the M.P.S.C forwards to the Govt a list of Coop. Sub Registrars fit for promotion as Dy. Registrars. When forwarding the list i 1946, the Commission placed Sri Suryanarayana, Coop.

Sub Registrar, in the list of Officers not yet fit for promotion although some of his Juniors were included in the list. The Govt accepted the Commission's recommendations. When the next list was drawn up in Jan 1947, the Commission did not consider the name of Sri Suryanarayana as only 10 names were considered in the order of seniority and Sri Suryanarayana's name was only 23rd in the list according to seniority. The former H.M. (R.D) Sri V Kurmayya considered that the claims of Sri Suryanarayana had been unjustly overlooked as he had uniformly good record. He therefore minuted that Sri Suryanarayana's name should be included in the list forthwith. H.M. (L.A) and the former H. Pr. however considered that as the list had already been approved by the Govt it need not be revised but that the candidate's name might be considered and justice done to him at the time of preparing the next list. When the Commission made its recommendations for drawing up the next list, it interviewed Sri Suryanarayana. But as certain charges were pending against him, the Commission considered that he could not be classed as fit for promotion yet and in case he was exonerated and considered as fit for promotion, he might be included in the list and given his original place. The H.M.(R.D) has again minuted that the charges are only technical, that it will take a long time to dispose them off and that it is unjust to deny promotion to this officer on this account. The file is being circulated to H.Pr. It may be stated here that this case was not brought to the notice of Govt by anybody but one of the members of the Govt themselves took notice of it. It is therefore doubtful whether this will come within the scope of clasue (a) of the question.

4T18/5
EDUCATIONAL

Government of Madras

Public (Services) Department

U.O. Note No. 1230/49-1 dated 1st April 1949

***

Madras Public Service Commission - Recuruitment of candidates

Ref: Legislative Assembly Question No. 198*

***

A copy of the Legislative Assembly Question cited above is forwarded to the departments of the Secretariat and they are requested to furnish very urgently the information necessary for answering the question. A consolidated reply may be sent by each department before 15th April 1949.

K.V. Radhakrishniah,
Asst. Secretary to Government

// True copy//

Secretariat of the Madras Legislature
(Assembly Section)

Sri B.S. Murthy, M.L.A.

Starred Q. No. 1954*

Will the Hon'ble the Premier be pleased to state:

(a) whether any instances have been brought to the notice of the Government since 1947 that the Madras Public Service Commission hd done injustice to anv of the candidates interviewed by the Commission;and

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, what the instances and details relating thereto are?

It may also be stated that the matter is confidential and cannot be divalyed on the floor of the Assembly.

This may be given to J. section for consolidations.

The matter is still sub judice as to speak. It cannot yet be send to be a perused instance of injustice to the candiate. Need not be mentioned

No injsutice can be said to have been done by the commission, in as much as they had agreed to give Sri Suryanarana his rightful place (ie) the place he could have held in the list had be been included at the outset) if after the disposal of the case to be found fit for promotion

xxx/12.4 U.Secretary

To A.E

Extracts ~~of paragraphs~~ 1 and 2 on p. 1 ante have been given to 'J' Section for consolidation. These ppas may now be lodged.

xxxx/19/x

//True copy//